Accepted for publication in J.Fluid Mech.

Evolution and breaking of parametrically forced capillary waves in a circular cylinder

By BABURAJ A. PUTHENVEETTIL¹ AND E. J. HOPFINGER²

¹Department of Applied Mechanics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India. ²LEGI-CNRS, Grenoble, France. emil.hopfinger@hmg.inpg.fr

(Received July 2008 and in revised form December 2008)

We present results on parametrically forced capillary waves in a circular cylinder, obtained in the limit of large fluid depth, using two low viscosity liquids whose surface tensions with air differ by an order of magnitude. The evolution of the wave patterns from the instability to the wave-breaking threshold is investigated in a forcing frequency range ($f = \omega/2\pi = 25$ to 100 Hz) that is around the cross-over frequency (ω_{ot}) from gravity to capillary waves ($\omega_{ot}/2 \le \omega/2 \le 7\omega_{ot}$). As expected, near the instability threshold the wave pattern depends on the container geometry, but as the forcing amplitude is increased the wave pattern becomes random and the wall effects are insignificant. Near breaking, the distribution of random wave lengths can be fitted by a Gaussian with the most probable value being close to that obtained from the linear dispersion relation. A new gravity-capillary scaling is introduced that is more appropriate than the usual viscous scaling for low viscosity fluids and forcing frequencies $< 10^3$ Hz. In terms of these scales, a criterion is derived to predict the cross-over from capillary to gravity dominated breaking. A wave-breaking model is developed that gives the relation between the container and the wave accelerations in agreement with experiments. The measured drop size distribution of the ejected drops above the breaking threshold is well approximated by a Gamma distribution. The most likely drop diameter is proportional to the wavelength determined from the dispersion relation; this wavelength is also close to the most likely wavelength of the random waves at drop ejection. The dimensionless drop ejection rate is shown to have a cubic power law dependence on the dimensionless excess acceleration ϵ'_{i} an inertial-gravitational ligament formation model is consistent with such a power law.

1. Introduction

Wave motions at liquid-gas interfaces, especially wave breaking and drop ejection, can substantially increase the interfacial heat and mass transfer. An example is the mass transfer across the air-sea interface where gravity and capillary waves play an essential role. Saylor & Handler (1997) showed that capillary waves can increase the transfer rate of CO_2 by two orders of magnitude compared with the diffusive flux at an undisturbed interface. Another application is in liquid fuel tanks that are often subjected to vibrations in the frequency ranges corresponding either to gravity or capillary waves; the fuels are usually of low viscosity and have low surface tension with air. When the tanks are exposed to external heat fluxes, the waves at the liquid-gas interface can be the cause of large pressure changes due to enhanced evaporation or condensation(Das & Hopfinger

2008*a*). In the kHz and MHz ranges, the production of sprays by vibrations has been used rather extensively but the mechanisms of droplet formation are rather complex and not well understood (Yule & Al-Suleimani 2000). There are thus fundamental as well as practical interests for studying interfacial waves, including wave breaking and droplet ejection, in the capillary-gravity frequency range (driving frequency < 1kHz), especially in low viscosity fluids with low surface tension with air.

Well controlled waves in a container can be produced by forcing the container in the direction normal to the liquid surface. Such waves, known as Faraday waves, that are sub-harmonically excited (wave frequency equal to half the driving frequency) have been extensively studied in both the gravity and the capillary limits (Benjamin & Ursell 1954; Miles & Henderson 1990; Kumar & Tuckerman 1994; Edwards & Fauve 1995; Kudrolli & Gollub 1996). In such waves, the cross-over from gravity to capillary waves corresponds to the equality of the gravity and the capillary terms in the dispersion relation,

$$\omega_o^2 = \left(gk + \frac{\sigma}{\rho}k^3\right) \tanh(kh),\tag{1.1}$$

were, *g* is the gravitational acceleration, *k* the wave number, σ/ρ the kinematic surface tension, *h* the fluid depth and ω_{σ} the circular wave frequency. The fluid depth can be considered infinite when tanh(*kh*) \approx 1. The cross-over wave frequency from gravity to capillary waves according to (1.1) is given by:

$$\omega_{ot} = (4g^3 \rho / \sigma)^{1/4}.$$
 (1.2)

The driving threshold acceleration for parametric instability in the capillary, unbounded and infinite fluid depth limits, is (Edwards & Fauve 1995; Kumar & Tuckerman 1994)

$$a_c = 8(\rho/\sigma)^{1/3} \nu \omega^{5/3}, \tag{1.3}$$

where the circular driving frequency $\omega = 2\omega_0$ and ν is the kinematic viscosity.

Experiments on Faraday waves are usually conducted in finite size containers that makes the wave pattern in low viscosity fluids dependent on the container geometry (Gollub & Meyer 1983). Side wall boundary effects are felt to a decay length

$$l_d \sim \sigma / (4\nu \rho \omega) \tag{1.4}$$

so that the container side boundaries can be disregarded only when $R \gg l_d$ where, R is the radius of the container; the system is then considered as a 'large system' (Bechhoefer *et al.* 1995; Edwards & Fauve 1995). By analogy with Rayleigh-Bénard convection, the aspect ratio $\zeta = R/h$ is sometimes used to distinguish between large and small devices(Kudrolli & Gollub 1996). While a large aspect ratio may imply that R/l_d is also large, R/h is not the relevant parameter for characterising lateral boundary effects in the case of Faraday waves. For instance, in applications where the container radius is very large, R/h is of order 1 whereas $R/l_d >> 1$.

Beyond the stability limit, the pattern evolution of Faraday waves is complex. Theories that propose three-wave resonant interactions(Zhang & Vinals 1997; Chen & Vinals 1997) in the capillary limit for unbounded fluid layers have been successful in matching experiments in large systems (Binks *et al.* 1997; Kudrolli & Gollub 1996) near the instability threshold. No general theory exists for pattern evolution in small systems where side boundary effects are important. Ciliberto & Gollub (1985) propose that in small systems the evolution of wave numbers is due to mode competition. For water at a forcing frequency f = 16Hz in a container of radius R = 6.35 cm, these authors showed that this competition can give rise to oscillations at $\omega/2$, a single stable mode, a slow periodic variation, or a chaotic variation of amplitudes. Gollub & Meyer (1983) observed that in a container of R = 2.41 cm, filled with water and forced at f = 62Hz, the wave motions become chaotic at $\epsilon = (a - a_c)/a_c$ of about 1, where, a is the driving acceleration. All these studies were limited to $\epsilon < 1.37$, well below wave breaking conditions.

At large amplitudes of vibrations, waves break ejecting drops; far less is known about such large amplitude waves and wave breaking conditions. Jiang, Perlin & Schultz (1998) and Jiang, Ting, Perlin & Schultz (1996) demonstrated the breaking scenario of parametrically forced two-dimensional gravity waves. Goodridge, Shi & Lathrop (1996); Goodridge, Tao Shi, Hentschel & Lathrop (1997) and Goodridge, Hentschel & Lathrop (1999) determined the drop ejection threshold acceleration for capillary waves in water and glycerin-water mixtures up to driving frequencies of 100 Hz, but did not investigate the wave pattern at large ϵ . The critical driving acceleration for the onset of drop ejection given by Goodridge *et al.* (1996, 1997, 1999) is

$$a_d \approx 0.26 (\sigma/\rho)^{1/3} \omega^{4/3},$$
 (1.5)

which is independent of viscosity in the frequency range of the experiments. Goodridge *et al.* (1997, 1999) introduced capillary-viscous scales in the form

$$\Omega_{\nu} = \frac{(\sigma/\rho)^2}{\nu^3} \tag{1.6}$$

for the frequency,

$$L_{\nu} = \frac{\nu^2}{\sigma/\rho}.$$
(1.7)

for the length and $a_{\nu} = L_{\nu}\Omega_{\nu}^2$ for the acceleration. In dimensionless form, (1.5) is then written as $a_{\nu}^* \approx 0.26 (\omega_{\nu}^*)^{4/3}$ where

$$a_{\nu}^* = a/a_{\nu} \text{ and} \tag{1.8}$$

$$\omega_{\nu}^{*} = \omega / \Omega_{\nu}. \tag{1.9}$$

From measurements of the droplet ejection acceleration threshold, Goodridge *et al.* (1997) concluded that capillary wave breaking is independent of viscosity if $\omega_{\nu}^* \leq 10^{-5}$. This has been confirmed by James, Vukasinovic, Smith & Glezer (2003*b*) and James, Smith & Glezer (2003*a*) who conducted experiments on parametric instability of large drops at driving frequencies of about 1000 Hz. From measurements of the ejected drop sizes in the frequency range 1.52 to 2.42 MHz, Donnelly, Hogan, Mugler, Schommer & Schubmehl (2004) concluded that viscous effects on the drop diameter are insignificant up to $\omega_{\nu}^* \sim O(0.1)$. Similar conclusions were formed from the study of drop size distribution due to vibration induced atomisation at around 1kHz by Vukasinovic *et al.* (2004). Since viscosity effects are insignificant in low viscosity fluids, at least at driving frequencies < 1 kHz, a more appropriate scaling is a gravity -capillary scaling, as will be shown in Section 4.1.

For $a > a_d$, Goodridge *et al.* (1999) determined the drop ejection rate

$$\Phi = N/(ST\omega_o) \tag{1.10}$$

and proposed the power law

$$\Phi = 0.039\epsilon_d^{2.8},\tag{1.11}$$

where, *N* is the number of drops ejected during time *T* from a projected surface area *S* of the fluid surface and $\epsilon_d = (a - a_d)/a_d$. The above expression is based only on experiments with one liquid at one driving frequency. It is likely to be incomplete as it equates a

dimensional quantity Φ , which has the dimension of number of drops per unit surface area, to a dimensionless parameter ϵ_d . Further, the drop size distribution of the ejected drops has been measured only in sprays formed by vibrations in the kHz and MHz ranges (Lang 1962; Topp 1973; Donnelly *et al.* 2004; Vukasinovic *et al.* 2004). Whether these results obtained in the MHz range, where viscous effects are important in the breaking process, are similar to the drop sizes and their distribution at frequencies of the order of 10^2 Hz is still not known.

In the present paper, we present experimental results of the nonlinear evolution and wave breaking of sub-harmonically excited Faraday waves for frequencies $\omega_{ot}/2 \leq \omega \leq 7\omega_{ot}$ using low viscosity fluids of kinematic surface tensions that differ by an order of magnitude. Because of the low viscosity, the decay length is of the order of the container radius. Therefore, the system is a 'small system' with the wave patterns near the instability onset depending on the container geometry. Here, we show how this pattern evolves from small ϵ to large ϵ where the wave motion becomes random and independent of the container geometry; boundary effects are in this case confined to the Stokes layer thickness. The wavelengths at drop ejection are the same as the inviscid dispersion wavelength.

Concerning the drop ejection, the interest of the present results with respect to those of Goodridge et al. (1997), is the use of two liquids of widely different surface tensions to validate the proposed models. We used water (σ = 72 dyne/cm, ω_{ot} = 85 rad s¹) and FC-72 ($\sigma = 10$ dyne/cm, $\omega_{ot} = 158$ rad s⁻¹); a glycerin-water solution was also used to verify the decay length l_d . In addition, we introduce a simple but important, new gravitycapillary scaling that is more appropriate for low viscosity liquids in the frequency range where viscous effects are insignificant. This scaling gives the transition from capillary to gravity dominated breaking; the dimensionless values of the threshold accelerations are of order 1 instead of order 10^{-5} when using the viscous scales. Furthermore, a simple break-up model is developed that demonstrates the essential physics of drop ejection. Using this model, a relation between the wave and the container acceleration is obtained; an information that is of interest for interfacial heat and mass transfer models. The measurements of the drop size distribution are the first in the present frequency range. In applications, wall vibrations can be the cause for capillary waves and possible drop ejection. Hence, in Appendix A, the drop ejection conditions for horizontally forced capillary waves (horizontal vibrations) are briefly discussed. The results obtained with horizontal forcing confirm the capillary drop ejection model developed. The drop ejection rate has been measured for the two fluids and a model is proposed that collapses the data reasonably well.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 the experimental conditions and the procedures are presented. The observed wave pattern evolution is discussed in Section 3. Section 4 contains the results on drop ejection, giving the acceleration threshold in terms of the new gravity-capillary scaling, followed by the break-up model and the relation between the container and the wave acceleration. The drop size measurements are presented in Section 4.4. The drop ejection rate is discussed in Section 4.5 and the main conclusions given in Section 5. In Appendix A, the drop ejection threshold is given for horizontal forcing.

2. Experimental conditions

The experimental setup used is a transparent circular cylinder of radius R = 2.5 cm and 3 cm depth, filled with the fluid and mounted on a Bruel & Kjaer 4809 vibration exciter(figure 1). The vibration exciter was driven by a signal generator through a Bruel&



FIGURE 1. The experimental setup.

Kjaer 2709 amplifier. The container acceleration is given by $a(t) = A\omega^2 sin(\omega t)$, where *A* is the shaker amplitude. The signal generator and the amplifier were electrically isolated so as to output a clean sinusoidal signal into the vibration exciter. To reduce unwanted vibrations, the vibration exciter was bolted to a 100kg steel block that was placed on a vibration damper sheet.

Water and FC-72 were used in the study. Sufficient care was taken to avoid contaminants in the fluids. We used de-ionised water, fresh samples of which, taken from air tight storages were used for each experiment. FC-72 was also taken from sealed containers and handled carefully to avoid contamination. FC-72(3M 2000) is a clear colourless liquid with low surface tension with air and is fully wetting. The relevant properties of FC-72 are shown in Table 1. FC-72, due to its low surface tension with air, is not prone to contamination as much as water. In all the cases, the test section was first rinsed with fresh samples before filling the test fluid. The experiments were of short duration and the samples were not left exposed to atmosphere for long periods. Since tanh(kh) = 1 for these fluids for the present setup, we approximate the system to be of infinite depth. A few experiments to determine the effects of viscosity on the wave patterns and the drop ejection criterion were also conducted with glycerin-water solution.

To reduce the meniscus waves, brimful conditions were maintained for water and the glycerin-water solutions. The container was filled to a level where no deflection in the reflected light beam from the liquid surface was observed at the container edge. For FC-72, brimful conditions could not be maintained as the liquid is volatile and fully wetting. We did not study the effect of volatility on the interface motion in the case of FC-72. Since the liquid and surrounding air temperatures were the same, evaporation occurs until the equilibrium partial vapour pressure is reached above the liquid surface. Evaporation is rapid at the start (during about 10 sec) but then is slow and has a negligible effect on the

	ρ,	ν,	σ,	<i>a</i> _c , (g)	λ ,(cm)	<i>l</i> _{<i>d</i>} , (cm)	$\omega_{ot}/2\pi(\text{Hz})$
	$(g \text{ cm}^{-3})$	(cm^2s^{-1})	$(dyne cm^{-1})$				
FC-72	1.680	0.0038	10	0.0761	0.49	2.56	25.3
Water	0.997	0.0089	72.8	0.26	0.99	6.43	13.5
Glycerin-water soln.	1.26	1.44	63	46.46	0.9	0.028	14.8

TABLE 1. Properties of the fluids used at 20°C from Batchelor (1969) for water and from 3M (2000) for FC-72. ρ = density, ν = kinematic viscosity, σ = surface tension with air, a_c = critical acceleration for Faraday instability calculated from (1.3), λ = wavelength from dispersion relation (1.1) for infinite depth, l_d = viscous decay length calculated from (1.4). a_c , λ and l_d are calculated for $f = \omega/2\pi = 50$ Hz. The wavelength for the glycerin-water solution has been calculated from the viscous dispersion relation $\lambda_{\nu} = 3\pi \sqrt{\nu/\omega_o}$ given by Donnelly *et al.* (2004).

interfacial motion when the forcing amplitude was small. At larger forcing amplitudes when drop ejection occurred, there was generally about 1mm loss of liquid.

The wave patterns were captured using reflected diffused light from the liquid surface by an overhead digital camera (JAI) with a macro lens. The light source was kept at an angle of about 10° with respect to the vertical at about 1m above the liquid surface. The camera was also placed at the same angle and height as the light source, as shown in figure 1. This arrangement was found to give the best pictures of the surface waves. The camera was triggered with an external trigger; the wave patterns were frozen by adjusting the trigger frequency. The wave frequency was obtained by viewing the wave patterns at the subharmonic frequency and then at the harmonic frequency. The images froze when the trigger frequency was half the driving frequency. When viewed at harmonic trigger frequency, the subharmonic waves showed alternating crests and troughs at the same position. To study wave heights, drop diameters and drop ejection rates, side view images were taken after aligning the light source, test section and the camera in a horizontal line, with the camera center at the height of the liquid surface.

The vibration exciter was calibrated to determine the acceleration for a given amplifier voltage. During calibration, in order to have the same weight as in the experiments, the container was filled with the experimental fluid and sealed with tape. The displacement for different amplifier voltages at three driving frequencies of 25 Hz, 50 Hz and 100 Hz were measured using an optical displacement probe pointed at the top solid surface of the container. Calibration plots of r.m.s input voltages to the amplifier vs the corresponding maximum acceleration of the container were prepared for the three frequencies for the three fluids and used in the calculations.

3. Pattern evolution

Figure 2(a) and 2(b) show the observed wave patterns when $a < a_c$, where a_c is calculated from (1.3), at a driving frequency of $f = \omega/2\pi = 50$ Hz for FC-72 and glycerinwater solution. The pattern at f = 100 Hz for water when $a < a_c$ is shown in figure 2(c). The waves in figure 2(a) and 2(c) are synchronous meniscus waves. The decay length for the conditions in figure 2(a) and 2(c) is of the order of R (see Table 1). Hence, meniscus waves, which are synchronous with the forcing, are seen over the whole surface. In the case of water, the container was filled to its upper edge. In spite of this, meniscus waves are generated. Meniscus waves exist for FC-72 even though the kinematic surface tension is very small. As is clear from figure 2(b), no meniscus waves are observed for glycerin-water mixture because the decay length is much smaller than R. The wavelengths calculated from (1.1) are given in Table 1. In the case of low viscosity Journal of Fluid Mechanics



FIGURE 2. Wave patterns at accelerations *a* less than the critical acceleration a_c (1.3) for forcing frequency f = 50 Hz. (a), for FC-72 at $\epsilon = (a - a_c)/a_c = -0.76$; (b), for glycerin -water solution at $\epsilon = -0.87$ and (c), wave patterns for f = 100 Hz at $\epsilon = -0.25$ for water.



FIGURE 3. Pattern evolution for $a \ge a_c$ in FC-72 at f = 50 Hz. (a), subharmonic parametric instability at $\epsilon = -0.17$. The wavelengths are about 1.6 times that of synchronous meniscus waves in figure 2(a) as expected from the dispersion relation; (b), instantaneous pattern when random movements of fluid cones occur at $\epsilon = 0.98$. The crests are seen as white spots with troughs as white lines surrounding the crests. The crests move about randomly over the surface. (c), Wave pattern at drop ejection at $\epsilon = 3.14$. The wave slopes steepen and the crests move faster.

liquids, the contribution of the gravitational term to the wavelength, which depends on the forcing frequency and the surface tension, is small (2.7% for water to 25% for FC-72 at f = 50Hz). For Glycerin-water in the viscous regime, the wave length given in Table 1 has been calculated from $\lambda_{\nu} = 3\pi \sqrt{\nu/\omega_0}$ given by Donnelly *et al.* (2004). This value is practically identical to the wavelength calculated from the inviscid dispersion relation (1.1) because the conditions for glycerin-water nearly coincide with the crossover from the capillary to the viscous threshold wavelength (Donnelly *et al.* 2004).

The evolution of wave patterns in FC-72 when *a* is increased beyond a_c for frequencies of 50 Hz, 100 Hz and 25 Hz, is shown in figures 3, 4 and 5 respectively. In figure 3(a) at $\epsilon = (a-a_c)/a_c = -0.17$, the parametric instability (at subharmonic frequencies) dominates over the initial synchronous meniscus waves (shown in figure 2(a)) and develops parallel lines of steeper slopes. Parametric instability is observed for *a* slightly less than a_c ($\epsilon = -0.17$) because a_c calculated from (1.3) is valid for idealised conditions. With increasing ϵ , the stripe pattern of parametric instability breaks down to form laterally moving fluid cones, an instantaneous pattern of which is shown in figure 3(b) at $\epsilon = 0.98$. The crests (seen as white spots) and troughs (seen as thin lines surrounding the crests) move about laterally in a random way. At higher ϵ , the wave slopes steepen, the white spots become points, the lines narrow, the random lateral movements become faster



FIGURE 4. Pattern evolution for $a \ge a_c$ in FC-72 at f = 100 Hz. (a), parametric instability at $\epsilon = -0.31$; (b), instantaneous pattern from random movement of fluid cones at $\epsilon = 0.25$; (c), wave pattern at drop ejection at $\epsilon = 1.73$.



FIGURE 5. Pattern evolution for $a > a_c$ in FC-72 at f = 25 Hz in the gravity-capillary regime. (a), subharmonic waves at $\epsilon = 0.14$. Parametric instability in the form of lines are not seen. (b), azimuthal modulation on the circular waves at $\epsilon = 1.2$; (c), Pattern at drop ejection at $\epsilon = 4.56$.

and drops are ejected from the crests; the instantaneous pattern at this stage, when $\epsilon = 3.14$ is shown in figure 3(c). A similar route from meniscus waves, giving way to stable parametric waves, that break down to random movements of fluid cones to drop ejection is seen in figure 4 for FC-72 at 100 Hz. The wavelengths here are smaller as expected at a larger forcing frequency. At f = 25 Hz parametric instability in the form of lines is not seen. Instead, subharmonic circular waves are observed (figure 5(a)). Gravity effects are important in FC-72 at this lower frequency(see Table 1), resulting in a larger wavelength and decay length so that the side wall effects are stronger near the instability threshold. The appearance of an azimuthal modulation to break down the circular wave into circularly arranged peaks and troughs is seen clearly in figure 5. At drop ejection when $\epsilon = 4.56$ in figure 5(c), the wave pattern is again more random.

The pattern evolution in water at 100 Hz (figure 6) is similar to that in FC-72 at 50 Hz and 100 Hz; the wavelengths are larger than that in FC-72 at the same frequency due to the larger kinematic surface tension of water. At f = 50Hz in water, pattern evolution above a_c is different, an azimuthal modulation of the circular wave pattern (similar to that of figure 2(c)) occurs. This modulation gives rise to a periodic pattern change. A typical cyclic change of pattern at $\epsilon = 1.01$ is shown in figures 7(a) to 7(c). The time period of the beat cycle is 38 s. With increasing ϵ , the three patterns in the beat cycle break down to randomly moving cusps and troughs as in the earlier cases; the resulting instantaneous pattern is shown in figure 7(d) at $\epsilon = 5$. The dynamics then remains similar to that observed earlier. The cusps and troughs sharpen and move



FIGURE 6. Pattern evolution in water at f = 100 Hz. (a), parametric instability at $\epsilon = 0.35$. (b) and (c), instantaneous patterns of the random waves at $\epsilon = 2.35$ and $\epsilon = 4.24$. (d), steepening of slopes and drop ejection at $\epsilon = 5.53$.



FIGURE 7. Pattern evolution for $a > a_c$ in water at f = 50 Hz. (a)-(c), cyclic pattern change, with a time period of 38 s (0.026Hz), at $\epsilon = 1.01$. (d), instantaneous pattern of random lateral wave movements at $\epsilon = 5$; (e), drop ejection at $\epsilon = 9.5$. In (e), the wave slopes steepen; the crests move faster.

faster; the instantaneous pattern at drop ejection when $\epsilon = 9.5$ is shown in figure 7(e). The structures are much larger than those observed for FC-72 at the same frequency (compare figures 3(b) and 7(d)) due to the larger surface tension of water.

The periodic pattern evolution shown in figures 7a to 7c is similar to the regimes observed by Ciliberto & Gollub (1985). These authors found that when the driving frequency and driving amplitude are such that these lie in an overlap region of two modes (in their case modes (4,3) and (7,2) in water at a driving frequency f = 16.1Hz and driving amplitude of about 2.5 times the threshold value of the sub-harmonic resonance modes), a periodic mode oscillation occurs. In a small region chaotic oscillations were also observed. At larger driving amplitudes the surface motion became chaotic at all driving frequencies investigated. Similar observations of axisymmetric waves modu-

lated by parametric instability giving rise to lattice modes which again break down to random waves were also observed by Vukasinovic *et al.* (2007*a*) in drop atomisation. We have not determined experimentally the phase diagram as was done for instance by Ciliberto & Gollub (1985) and by Das & Hopfinger (2008*b*), because our aim has been to determine the pattern evolution at a fixed driving frequency for driving amplitudes leading to wave breaking and drop ejection at fixed driving frequencies. Nevertheless, it is of interest to determine the sub-harmonic resonance modes that are closest to the present driving frequencies in order to see whether the possibility that two modes overlap exists. From the dispersion relation, equation (1.1) we get for water at the driving frequency f = 50Hz a dimensionless wave number kR = 15.81(where R = 2.5cm is the container radius). The resonance modes are determined from the boundary condition, corresponding to $J'_1(k_{lm}R) = 0$, where the first index corresponds to the number of angular maxima.

The closest modes would be mode (4,4), corresponding to a driving frequency f =50.59 Hz and mode (14,1) corresponding to f = 50.6Hz. However, these modes do not agree with observations (figure 7) and the number of radial wave crests would be incompatible with the container size. The other modes are mode (6,3) with, $k_{63}R = 15.268$, corresponding to a driving frequency f = 47.87Hz and mode (9,2) with $k_{92}R = 15.286$, corresponding to a driving frequency f = 47.94Hz. In principle, the frequency width Δf over which instability occurs is too narrow (it is about 4% at a forcing amplitude of about twice the critical value, see Ciliberto & Gollub (1985) and Edwards & Fauve (1995)) for these modes to include f = 50Hz. It is known, and has been demonstrated by Das & Hopfinger (2008b), that for water the frequency of a given wave mode is slightly higher than the theoretical value because the contact line is pinned and does not satisfy the theoretical boundary condition (the effective container radius appears slightly smaller than the actual radius). This would shift the frequency of modes (6,3) and (9,2) sufficiently to the experimental driving frequency such that, at a driving amplitude of about twice the threshold value, the experimental driving frequency and amplitude lie within the bounds of the two modes. In figure 7(a), five to six angular spikes (angular maxima) can be identified near the center part and in figure 7(c) there are at least nine spikes. Considering all the imperfections, this would suggest a mode oscillation similar to Ciliberto & Gollub (1985), except that in our case the frequencies of the two modes are very close and the driving frequency is situated slightly above and not in between the frequencies of the two modes. In figure 7(b) nearer to the container wall, it might be possible to identify ten angular maxima and five in figure 7a. From this it could be concluded that the pattern in figure 7(a) is a sub-harmonic of that in 7(b). If this were the case, pattern 7(a) would not be an unstable primary mode, which is unlikely because the wave amplitudes in 7(b) and 7(a) are similar. Therefore, the most likely explanation is an oscillation between modes (6,3) and (9,2).

No mode oscillation has been observed for the other cases considered. For instance the pattern at the surface of FC-72 forced at f = 25Hz (figure 5) is initially circular (imposed by the container shape) and then, at a forcing amplitude of about twice the experimental threshold value, an azimuthal pattern appears with seven angular maxima. The dimensionless wave number at 25 Hz is kR = 13.4 that is close to mode (7,2) of $k_{72}R = 12.93$, corresponding to a forcing frequency of 24.44 Hz. Mode (8,2) would correspond to a forcing frequency of 25.8 Hz. The driving frequency of 25 Hz is thus within about 2% of the frequency of mode (7,2) so that the unstable surface pattern is able to lock onto this mode when the driving amplitude is about twice the threshold value. The stability bound of (7,2) is crossed before the intersection of this bound with that of mode (8,2) so that no oscillation occurs. If an experimental driving frequency of 50.5



FIGURE 8. Distribution of wavelengths of the crests at drop ejection in water at f = 50 Hz for three sets of images. The inset shows the normal probability plot of the wavelengths for the third set. The mean wavelength of 0.96 cm is close to the wavelength of 0.99 cm obtained from the dispersion relation for subharmonic waves.

Hz would have been chosen, an oscillation between modes (7,2) and (8,2) could have been possible but difficult to identify unambiguously because of the closeness of the two modes. Note that with FC-72 the contact line is free to move so that the experimental boundary condition corresponds to the theoretical one.

For FC-72 at 50 Hz and water and FC-72 at 100 Hz the dimensionless wave numbers are very large and the wave patterns tend to become independent of the container shape. Also, far away from the instability bounds and irrespective of the pattern evolution it is found that the wave pattern consists of fluid cones moving laterally in a random fashion independent of container shape.

We measured the distribution of the wavelengths of crests at the drop ejection threshold for water from images similar to figure 7(e) at drop ejection where the crests and the troughs could be distinguished. The measurements were done by mouse clicks over the crests, using a program that captures the coordinates from mouse clicks. Data from three images were used to improve the statistics and outliers were removed as per the criterion of Frank & Althoen (2002). Note that the images were acquired at half the forcing frequency, i.e. synchronous to the subharmonic parametric waves.

Figure 8 shows the probability density function of the wavelengths of crests at the drop ejection threshold, in the standardised form, for water at f = 50 Hz. The standard normal curve is shown as the solid line. The error bars represent the maximum possible error, corresponding to the size (0.6 mm) of the bright spots in figure 7(e). Repeated measurements from different image sets plotted in the figure show the possible vertical and horizontal variation of the data. The inset in figure 8 shows the normal probability plot of one of the data sets; linearity implies a normal distribution. The mean wavelength is $\lambda_m = 0.96$ cm with a standard deviation of $\sigma_{\lambda} \approx \lambda_m/5$. The mean wavelength is very close to the wavelength of 0.99 cm predicted by the dispersion relation. For capillary waves, the viscous instability analysis shows that the most unstable wavelength follows the inviscid scaling ($\lambda = C(\sigma/\rho)^{1/3}\omega^{2/3}$) obtained from the dispersion relation (Donnelly

et al. 2004). This indicates that, since the wavelengths at drop ejection are distributed normally around the subharmonic dispersion wavelength, the wavelength which becomes unstable first is predominant from the initiation of instability up to drop ejection. However, these subharmonic waves are superimposed with a large number of random waves with frequencies that are multiples of the subharmonic frequency. In other words, as wave turbulence is approached, the spectrum broadens but with a peak at the subharmonic frequency (Wright *et al.* 1996). This spectral peak is eliminated in randomly forced wave turbulence (Falcon *et al.* 2007).

4. Drop ejection

4.1. Characteristic scales

Goodridge *et al.* (1997) found that drop ejection is essentially an inviscid process for dimensionless driving frequencies $\omega_{\nu}^* < 10^{-5}$, corresponding to $\omega < 10$ kHz for water and $\omega < 1$ kHz for FC-72. Hence, for low viscosity liquids, in the frequency range 1-1000 Hz, the usual capillary-viscous scales are not the most appropriate scales to use.

We therefore propose a gravity-capillary scaling which gives dimensionless parameter values of order one in this low frequency range. These frequency and length scales are respectively:

$$\Omega_g = \left(\frac{g^3}{\sigma/\rho}\right)^{1/4} \text{ and } L_g = \left(\frac{\sigma/\rho}{g}\right)^{1/2}.$$
(4.1)

The resulting acceleration scale is $L_g \Omega_g^2 = g$. The dimensionless circular forcing frequency, forcing acceleration and forcing amplitude in the gravity-capillary regime are

$$\omega^* = \omega / \Omega_g, \tag{4.2}$$

$$a^* = a/g, \text{ and} \tag{4.3}$$

$$A^* = A/L_g \tag{4.4}$$

respectively.

The dimensionless threshold acceleration is $a_d^* = a_d/g$. When the forcing frequency is sufficiently large ($\omega^* >> 0.61$, see (4.21)), the drop ejection threshold should be independent of gravity, the only possibility that satisfies this condition is the power law

$$a_d^* = C_1 \omega^{*4/3}. \tag{4.5}$$

This expression is identical to (1.5) when $C_1 \approx 0.26$. In the other limit when wave breaking and drop ejection are dominated only by gravity, a_d^* is a constant of the form

$$a_d^* = C_2.$$
 (4.6)

The two expressions suggest the general power law

$$a_d^* = C\omega^{*n} \tag{4.7}$$

where *n* and *C* depend on the driving frequency with $0 \le n \le 4/3$. The value of C_2 has not been determined. Wave breaking occurs when the dimensionless wave amplitude $b_d \omega_o^2/g = 1$ but the relation between a_d and b_d is not unique.

The cross-over from gravity to capillary waves occurs at a wave frequency given by (1.2). The dimensionless forcing frequency corresponding to (1.2) is

$$\omega_t^* = 2 \times \omega_{o_t} / \Omega_g = 2\sqrt{2}, \tag{4.8}$$

giving a convenient transition criterion from gravity to capillary waves. As will be

	<i>f</i> (Hz)	a_d expts.	$a_d eq(1.5)$	$\omega_{\nu}^{*} \times 10^{8}$	ω^*	$a^*_{vd} imes 10^{10}$	a_d^* (eq(4.5),
Water	25	807.85	917.62	2.15	2.61	0.14	$C_1 = 0.26)$ 0.82
	50	1885.3	2312.3	4.3	5.22	0.45	2.68
	100	5160.8	5826.5	8.61	10.45	0.88	5.26
FC-72	25	415.6	399.36	22.5	1.4	3.7	0.42
	50	983.6	1006.3	44.9	2.8	8.74	1.0
	100	2055.1	2535.8	89.83	5.6	18.26	2.09
Glycwater	50	12215	2045.6	3.8×10^{7}	4.77	4.27×10^{9}	12.45

TABLE 2. Droplet ejection threshold accelerations for the three fluids and the three driving frequencies plotted in figure 9. The measured accelerations are in column 3 and those predicted by (1.5) are in column 4. Column 5 to 8 contain the dimensionless frequencies and accelerations using viscous-capillary scales(subscript ν) and gravity-capillary scales.

seen below (section 4.3.1), the cross-over from gravity to capillary dominated breaking occurs at a different value of ω^* , the difference being due to larger capillary effects due to a smaller radius of curvature of the wave crest.

4.2. Drop ejection threshold

Drop ejection is a continuous process. The ejection starts as very small size droplets, ejected rarely, and increases with driving acceleration to larger drops ejected at a more uniform rate. Because of this initial rare events of drop ejection, it is difficult to determine the drop ejection threshold acceleration accurately. Goodridge et al. (1997) defined the threshold acceleration by a criterion of 2 drops in 10 s. Here, we manually counted the number of drops ejected during a specific time period while increasing the driving acceleration by small increments. The measured drop ejection threshold shown in figure 9 corresponds to a drop ejection rate of 1 to about 16 drops in 30 s. The variation in acceleration encountered in this range of drop ejection rate was lower than the error involved in the measurement of the acceleration. Table 2 shows the values of the measured drop ejection threshold acceleration a_d at three frequencies, for water, FC-72 and glycerin-water solution along with the values predicted by (1.5). There is reasonable agreement between the predicted and the experimental values. The table also shows the forcing frequency and the threshold acceleration, normalised by the viscous scales $(\omega_v^* = \omega/\Omega_v)$ and $a_{vd}^* = a_d/L_v\Omega_v^2$ and the gravity-capillary scales $(\omega^* = \omega/\Omega_g)$ and $a_d^* = a/g$). The gravity-capillary scales are more appropriate than the viscous scales as the dimensionless driving frequencies and threshold accelerations are of order one.

In figure 9, the dimensionless drop ejection threshold a_d^* is plotted verses ω^* and compared with $a_d^* = 0.26\omega^{*4/3}$ (4.5). Breaking occurs if the point (ω^*, a_d^*) is above the solid line. The error bars shown are the larger of the two errors viz. the error from repeated measurements and the error in calibration between the voltage input and the acceleration output of the shaker. The calibration error increases with acceleration as the deviation of the shaker performance from the linear calibration fit increases with increasing forcing amplitude. The vertical dotted line at $\omega^* = 2\sqrt{2}$ in figure 9 indicates the cross-over from gravity to capillary waves according to (4.8) and the vertical dashed line indicates the cross-over from gravity to capillary dominated breaking (4.21). All our data, except the high viscosity glycerin-water data, agree with the inviscid scaling of (4.5). The variation in the pre-factor C_1 in (4.5) that captures the data spread of the present experiments is approximately 0.26 ± 0.05 . This variation is shown by the two parallel dotted lines in figure 9. The exponent *n* in (4.7) has not been adjusted to



FIGURE 9. Variation of the drop ejection threshold acceleration with the forcing frequency, both non-dimensionalised by the gravity-capillary scales. +, FC-72 at f = 25 Hz; \Box , Water at 25 Hz; *, FC-72 at 50 Hz; \diamond , Water at 50 Hz; \times , FC-72 at 100 Hz; \diamond , Water at 100 Hz and \triangle , glycerin-water solution at 50 Hz. The solid line is (1.5); breaking occurs above this line. Capillarity dominated breaking occurs to the right of the dashed vertical line. The cross-over from gravity to capillary waves is indicated by the dotted vertical line. The doted lines parallel to the line of (1.5) show the maximum variation in the prefactor C_1 in (4.5).

experiments because a value of n = 4/3 is imposed by virtue of the assumption of capillary dominated breaking (see Section 4.1). However, we expect the value of n to change from n = 0 when $\omega^* \ll 0.6$ (see Section 4.3) to n = 4/3 when $\omega^* \gg 0.6$, i.e. n is expected to be a function of the driving frequency in the frequency range of transition from gravity to capillary dominated breaking. It may be noted that the dimensionless driving acceleration threshold value for the axisymmetric gravity wave is about 0.1 (Das & Hopfinger 2008*b*) which is in agreement with figure 9.

4.3. Relation between wave and container acceleration

For capillary waves, Goodridge *et al.* (1997) quote the wave amplitude at the drop ejection threshold as

$$b_d = 0.73\lambda. \tag{4.9}$$

Equating (1.5) to forcing acceleration $A_d \omega^2$ and using λ from the dispersion relation, along with (4.9), the relation between the wave amplitude and the forcing amplitude A_d at drop ejection threshold, is

$$b_d \approx 28 A_d \,. \tag{4.10}$$

The threshold capillary wave acceleration, calculated from (4.10) using $\omega = 2\omega_o$ is 7 times the forcing acceleration:

$$b_d \omega_o^2 \approx 7 A_d \omega^2.$$
 (4.11)

The relation between the wave and the forcing acceleration given by (4.11) can be obtained from a simple force balance model described below.

4.3.1. A physical model

The model is based on the hypothesis that the capillary wave breaks (drop pinchoff at the wave crest) when the downward acceleration of the wave crest exceeds the downward acceleration due to the surface tension force. The surface tension force at the wave crest of radius r is

$$F_{\sigma} = \frac{2\sigma}{r} S_c, \tag{4.12}$$

where $S_c = \pi r^2$ is the projected surface area. We assume that the mass to be accelerated to prevent breaking (drop detachment) is,

$$m = K_1 \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 \rho$$
 (4.13)

with $K_1 \approx 0.5$ for a hemispherical cap. As the drop radius is generally larger than the wave crest radius just before breaking, we take

$$r \approx \frac{d}{2K_2} \tag{4.14}$$

with $K_2 > 1$, where *d* is the most probable drop diameter. K_2 is the ratio of the drop diameter to the wave crest diameter just before breaking. The present experiments suggest a value of $K_2 \approx 1.4$. In the numerical simulations of James *et al.* (2003*a*) (their figure 6), the ratio of the diameter of the drop that detaches (at their *t*=1.45) to the wave crest diameter just before necking starts (at *t*=0.4)is $1.25 < K_2 < 1.4$ depending upon where the wave crest radius is measured.

The drop diameter is expected to be proportional to the most likely wave length at breaking. From ultrasonic atomisation experiments, Lang (1962) determined the relation $d \approx 0.34\lambda$ where *d* is the Sauter mean diameter and λ the wave length determined from the instability analysis. More recently, Donnelly *et al.* (2004) measured

$$d \approx 0.35\lambda \tag{4.15}$$

but using for *d* the most likely drop diameter of the log-normal drop size distribution; the difference between the peak value and the Sauter mean diameter is 10 to 20%.

Using (4.12)to (4.15) and the capillary dispersion relation for λ , in the expression for the downward acceleration $a_o = b_d \omega_o^2 = F_\sigma/m$, we get the threshold wave acceleration as,

$$b_d \omega_o^2 \approx 4.86 \left(\sigma/\rho\right)^{1/3} \omega_o^{4/3}$$
 (4.16)

$$\approx 1.93 \left(\sigma/\rho\right)^{1/3} \omega^{4/3}$$
 (4.17)

The wave acceleration is, therefore, 7.4 times larger than a_d (1.93/0.26 from (4.17) and (1.5)), comparing favourably with (4.11).

The transition between gravity and capillary breaking can be determined from (4.16). At the drop ejection threshold, the relevant acceleration is the downward wave acceleration $a_o = b_d \omega_o^2$; gravity dominated breaking occurs when this downward acceleration exceeds *g*(Taylor 1953). Hence for gravity dominated wave breaking, the threshold wave amplitude is

$$b_d = g/\omega_o^2 \tag{4.18}$$

$$=\lambda/(2\pi)=0.16\lambda\tag{4.19}$$

where we have used $\omega_o^2 = gk$ from the dispersion relation. For capillary wave breaking

the threshold wave amplitude from (4.16) is

$$b_d = a_o / \omega_o^2 = 4.86 \left(\sigma / \rho \right)^{1/3} \omega_o^{-2/3}.$$
(4.20)

Equating (4.18) and (4.20) we get the criterion for capillarity dominated wave breaking as

$$\omega^* \ge 0.61. \tag{4.21}$$

This value is indicated by the vertical dashed line in figure 9. For FC-72, breaking is capillary dominated above f = 10.9 Hz while for water, capillary dominated breaking occurs above f = 5.8 Hz. The breaking threshold of gravity waves depends on the wave mode. Das & Hopfinger (2008*b*) showed that for the axisymmetric mode, capillary effects remain important down to at least $\omega^* \approx 0.3$.

If ω_o on the right hand side of (4.16) is expressed in terms of λ , given by the dispersion relation, we get

$$b_d \omega_o^2 \approx 19.4\pi^2 \left(\sigma/\rho\right) \lambda^{-2} \tag{4.22}$$

Using the wave acceleration calculated from (4.16) for water at f = 50 Hz, we obtain from (4.22) that $\lambda = 0.9$ cm, a value close to the most probable wavelength from the Gaussian distribution in figure 8. Also, if we substitute for ω_o from the capillary dispersion relation on the left hand side of (4.22), we get $b_d \approx 0.77\lambda$ that is close to (4.9). According to (4.22), we expect the larger wavelength waves to be below the threshold wave acceleration, while the smaller wavelength waves to generate spouts. It would also follow that waves with smaller wavelengths have larger amplitudes and vice versa. We now check these conjectures by observations of wave amplitudes at breaking.

Figures 10 shows the images of the wave heights near the drop ejection threshold for water and FC-72 obtained synchronous to the wave frequency. The undisturbed liquid level in all the images is five millimetres below the mark (of 4 cm) in the scale shown at the right hand side of the images. Figure 10(a) shows the wave heights at $\epsilon_d = (a - a_d)/a_d = 0.2$ for water at a forcing frequency of f = 50 Hz, where a_d is given by (1.5). There is a distribution of wave heights, the peak being at 6 mm. Note that the shorter wavelengths have larger amplitudes, as predicted by (4.22). Figure 10(b) shows the wave heights at the point of drop formation for water, at f = 50 Hz, for a slightly higher forcing acceleration, $\epsilon_d = 0.5$. The wave height at the point of breaking and drop formation is about 6 mm. Figure 10(c) shows the wave heights at $\epsilon_d = 1.2$ for FC-72 at f = 50 Hz. Breaking occurs at a wave height of 3 mm. These measured heights correspond to $b_d/A_d = 26$ for water and 29.4 for FC-72, matching with the prediction of (4.10). Different breaking scenarios and the chaotic nature of capillary wave breaking has been pointed out by Yule & Al-Suleimani (2000) in experiments on vibration induced atomisation of a thin liquid layer.

4.4. Distribution of drop diameters.

Drop diameters have been measured previously in ultrasonic atomisation where viscous effects are of importance (Lang 1962; Donnelly *et al.* 2004) and in droplet atomisation at around 1kHz(Vukasinovic *et al.* 2004). No results seem to be available in the frequency range of order 10² Hz.We determined the drop size distribution by image processing. The drop diameters were calculated from side view images, taken with the center line of the camera aligned with the top of the container, similar to figure 10(c). The images were cut to the region above the container and then background corrected to obtain bright drop images over a uniform dark background. A Canny edge detection routine, with an appropriate threshold level to discard diffuse edges due to unfocused drops, was used to obtain the white drop edges over a black background. Unconnected drop edges were

16



FIGURE 10. Wave heights near drop ejection. The undisturbed liquid level is at 3.5 cm on the scale shown at the right hand side of the images. (a), water, f = 50 Hz $\epsilon_d = (a - a_d)/a_d = 0.2$; (b), water f = 50 Hz, $\epsilon_d = 0.5$; (c),FC-72, f = 50 Hz $\epsilon_d = 1.2$.

connected by a bridging routine and then the holes in the image (due to drops) were filled. Objects connected to the border were deleted to remove partial drops and the free surface of the liquid from the image. Objects with eccentricity greater than about 0.7 were discarded. This removed overlapping drops, jets and lines in the image. Isolated white pixels due to noise were then removed by a morphological opening of the image. The white regions in the image were then labelled and the areas of each label calculated. The drop diameters were calculated from the pixel areas of the drops using the scale factor for the images (0.09 mm/pixel). The statistics of the drop diameters at f = 50 Hz were calculated from 795 drops for water, and from 1446 drops for FC-72, measured from about 400 images.

The present measurements of drop diameters are conducted at higher accelerations than the drop ejection threshold given by (4.5). The model presented in Section 4.3.1 is valid only for the initiation of the drop ejection. At higher accelerations than the drop ejection threshold, at which we measure the drop diameters, the drops are mainly created by the breakup of ligaments. This can be observed in figure 12 which shows the production of drops in FC-72 and water at accelerations much higher than the drop ejection threshold. A similar ligament formation has been observed by Vukasinovic *et al.* (2007*b*) in the case of drop atomisation; these ligaments are caused by local cavity collapse. Hence, we compare our results with the ligament fragmentation theory of Villermaux (2007) which predict a Gamma distribution for the drop diameters. A lognormal fit also matched the present drop diameter distribution, as was the case with Donnelly *et al.* (2004). However, we present the Gamma distribution as it is consistent with the physical picture of drop formation by ligament breakup.

Figure 11 shows the probability distribution function of the normalised drop diameters $\tilde{d} = d/d_m$ for FC-72 and water at f = 50 Hz and $\epsilon_d \approx 1$. The error bars are calculated by assuming ± 2 pixels error in the edge detection. We consider the second peak in figure 11(b) to be the relevant drop diameter representative of the most likely wave length. The first peak of small drops is probably due to random events such as local cavity collapse or collision of the laterally moving wave crests. The peak drop size is $d_m = 1.35$ mm for FC-72 and $d_m = 2.8$ mm for water. The dashed lines in figure 11 are the best fit standard Gamma distribution curves of order m,

$$P(\tilde{d}) = \frac{m^m}{\Gamma(m)} \tilde{d}^{m-1} e^{-m\tilde{d}}, \qquad (4.23)$$



FIGURE 11. Probability distribution function of normalised drop diameters at $\epsilon_d \approx 1$. (a),FC-72, f = 50 Hz; (b), water, f=50 Hz. The dashed line is the Gamma fit. In comparison to water, drops ejected from FC-72 has broader distribution of diameters with a smaller mean.



FIGURE 12. Drop ejection by ligament breakup at f = 50 Hz when accelerations are higher than the drop ejection threshold. (a), ligament formation in FC-72. at $\epsilon_d = 0.46$ (b), view after 1/30 s from (a). The ligament in (a) breaks into multiple small drops with a range of diameters. (c), ligament formation in water at $\epsilon_d = 0.45$. The ligament is longer (compare (a) and (c)) and thicker than that in (a). (d), view after 1/30 s from (c). The ligament in (c) breaks ejecting two drops of similar size.

where m = 14.6 for FC-72 and m = 17.9 for water. As per Villermaux (2007), m is the number of blobs of fluid in the ligament whose size is within d and $d + \Delta d$ at time t. Larger m implies longer, smoother and uniform ligaments breaking to give rise to narrower drop size distributions, while smaller m implies barely elongated wave crests which break into few big drops and few small ones resulting in a broader drop size distribution. The distribution of FC-72 has a smaller m and is broader than that of water. Since the surface tension of FC-72 is much lower than that of water we expect the ligaments to be less elongated than in water resulting in a broader drop size distribution.

These inferences match with the observations from the side view images of the liquid surface shown in figure 12. Figures 12(a) and 12(b) show the subsequent frames of the

Journal of Fluid Mechanics

ligament breakup process in FC-72 at $\epsilon_d = 0.46$ and f = 50Hz. Similar subsequent frames of the ligament break up in water at $\epsilon_d = 0.45$ and same f are shown in figures 12(c) and 12(d). Note that both the ϵ_d are calculated using a_d given in table 2. The ligament in FC-72 is smaller in diameter and length compared to that in water; it breaks up to produce about 4 drops of a range of drop sizes. In the case of water, the ligament is thicker and longer than in FC-72. The ligament breaks up to produce two drops of larger size than in FC-72; these drops being of similar size. Such breakups result in a narrower drop size distribution with a larger mean drop size.

Since drop diameters do not depend on viscosity up to forcing frequencies of the order of kHz (Vukasinovic *et al.* 2004) and even MHz corresponding to $\omega_{\nu}^* \sim 0.1$ (Donnelly *et al.* 2004), the drop diameter, made dimensionless by the gravity-capillary scales, can only depend on the dimensionless frequency, i.e.

$$d^* = C_3(\omega^*)^n \tag{4.24}$$

where, $d^* = d_m/L_g$. Since figure 9 shows that the breaking is capillary dominated, for gravity to be not important, n = -2/3; the mean drop diameters should thus scale as

$$d_m = C_3 (\sigma/\rho)^{1/3} \omega^{-2/3} \tag{4.25}$$

Similar scaling is obtained with capillary-viscous scales (1.6) and (1.7), as well as by assuming that the drop diameters are proportional to the wavelengths given by the dispersion relation for capillary waves. The value of the pre-factors obtained from our experiments are $C_3 = 3.12$ for water and $C_3 = 3.44$ for FC-72. The variation of the prefactor is only 10% and the range includes the prefactor of 3.34 obtained by Donnelly *et al.* (2004). As per (4.25), the ratio of the drop diameters for water and FC-72, at the same driving frequency, should be equal to the ratio of $(\sigma/\rho)^{1/3}$ for the two fluids. The ratio of $(\sigma/\rho)^{1/3}$ for the two fluids is 2.3 and the ratio of the measured drop diameters is 2.1. The ratio of mean drop diameter to the mean wavelength for water at f = 50 Hz is 0.3 as against 0.35 from (4.15).

4.5. Drop ejection rate

The drop ejection rate is difficult to determine accurately because the ejected drops may be counted twice as the drops often fall back. The only measurements available are those by Goodridge *et al.* (1999) who used an expanded laser beam above the liquid surface. The number of drops crossing the beam were counted with a photo-diode. Since the present experiments were not aimed specifically at measuring the flux of drops we did not develop an elaborate technique for measuring the flux. There is in fact no fully reliable technique of which we could think of. We therefore used the simplest method available to measure the number of drops per unit time and projected surface area, that is by counting the number of ejected drops, *N*, at accelerations above a_d in side view images. The images covered a region 7 cm in width and 3 cm in height above the wave surface *S* with the total diameter of the tank within the depth of focus of the camera.

The images were acquired at 30 fps for a specific time period T(T = 10s for the lowest forcing accelerations to 0.5s for the highest forcing accelerations). The measurements were repeated a few times at each acceleration. This technique was sufficient as we limited our measurements to a small number of ejected drops (up to a maximum of 6 drops per image). Double counting due to drops falling back was avoided by counting only the drops that were travelling up. The drop ejection rate Φ per unit area is expressed by $\Phi = N/(ST\omega_0)$. For clarity, it may be noted that 1 drop ejected per wave period $2\pi/\omega_0 = 0.04s^{-1}$ corresponds to $\Phi = 0.008cm^{-2}$. Goodridge *et al.* (1999) proposed for Φ the power law $\Phi = 0.039\epsilon_d'^{2.8}$, where $\epsilon_d' = (a - a_d')/a_{d'}'$ and $a_d' = 0.8a_d$ with a_d being the



FIGURE 13. Variation of Φ , the rate of drop ejection per unit area, with control parameter ϵ'_d . The data points are mean over repeated measurements. \blacksquare , water at f = 50 Hz; \blacklozenge , FC-72 at f = 50 Hz; \circ , 100× Glycerin-water (Goodridge *et al.* 1999) at f = 45 Hz and \cdots , $0.039\epsilon_d^{2.8}$.

threshold drop ejection acceleration given in table 2 and shown in Figure 9. At a'_d , that is about 20% lower than the a_d given in Figure 9, intermittent but very rare drop ejection takes place. Figure 13 shows the variation of Φ with ϵ'_d . The closed symbols represent water and FC-72 at f = 50 Hz while the open symbol represent 100× Glycerin-water data from Goodridge *et al.* (1999) at f = 45 Hz. The expression $0.039\epsilon'_d^{2.8}$ is shown as the dotted line. Note that the experimental values of Goodridge *et al.* (1999) (given in their figure 2) do not match (1.11), nor our data, unless multiplied by 100. Figure 13 indicates that the drop ejection rate is reasonably well represented by a power law when plotted against ϵ'_d . If $\epsilon_d = (a - a_d)/a_d$ were to be used as the control parameter, no power law can be fitted[‡]. As has been pointed out by Goodridge *et al.* (1999) the large power in ϵ'_d is consistent with the extremely rare event of drop ejection at onset.

While the water and the FC-72 data follow a similar power law, their pre-factors are different; in the case of water, more drops are ejected at the same value of ϵ'_d . In an attempt to make the data collapse, a simple model is developed and outlined in Appendix B. At $a >> a'_d$ drops are formed from ligaments or jets ejected from the fluid surface. These ligaments are a result of inertial collapse of cavities at some wave troughs. The diameter of these ligaments is then determined by the capillary wave length but the ligament spacing may be different. The number of drops per ligament is $N_l \sim L_l/d_m$, where L_l is the ligament length and d_m the mean drop diameter, proportional to the ligament diameter. The ligament length is to first order determined by inertial and gravitational

[†] The data would be well fitted by an exponential law of the form $\phi = \Phi \lambda_g^2 g/a_d = 8 \times 10^{-6} \exp(8.73\epsilon_d)$. If we use ϵ'_d , then the exponential fit is $\phi = \Phi \lambda_g^2 g/a'_d = 1.75 \times 10^{-6} \exp(7\epsilon'_d)$. Since we have no model or physical explanation for such an exponential behaviour, we did not present the data in this way. One of the referees pointed out that drop ejection is likely to be an activated process that would suggest an exponential dependency of ϕ on ϵ_d . The power law model presented in Appendix B is an inertial-gravity model which suggests a power law dependency of the ligament length on the forcing acceleration. However, the increase of the number of ligaments with ϵ_d might not follow a power law.



FIGURE 14. Variation of Φ scaled with a_d/g with ϵ'_d . The symbols denote the same as in figure 13. \cdots , $0.036\epsilon'_d{}^{2.8}$; --, $0.01\epsilon'_d{}^3$

force balance. This leads to (see Appendix B):

$$N_l \sim L_l/d_m \sim \epsilon_d^{\prime 2} \left(a_d^{\prime}/g \right). \tag{4.26}$$

The drop ejection rate is then $\Phi = N_l n_l$, where n_l is the number of ligaments per unit area and dimensionless time $T\omega_0$. The functional dependence of n_l on ϵ'_d may be assumed to be a power law and has, according to Figure 13, a nearly linear dependence on ϵ'_d at least in the range of ϵ'_d investigated. In Figure 14, $\Phi g/a'_d$ is plotted as a function of ϵ'_d and it is seen that (4.26) makes the data collapse quite well. The best fit for the rate of drop ejection per cm² is

$$\Phi g/a'_d = 0.01 \epsilon'^3_d \tag{4.27}$$

In order to make Φ dimensionless a length scale is needed. One such length scale is the capillary wavelength and the other the gravity wavelength $\lambda_g = 2\pi g/\omega_o^2$. Since the ligament development depends on gravity, the more appropriate length scale seems to be λ_g which is the same for water and FC-72. The ligament spacing is however a multiple of λ_g because at the largest drop ejection rate considered, there are only one to two ligaments present at each period. If we define $\phi = \Phi(g/a'_d)\lambda_g^2$ then the best fit of the data is

$$\phi = 0.0006 \,\epsilon_d^{\prime 3}.\tag{4.28}$$

5. Conclusions and further discussion

The principal contribution of the present work is the gravity-capillary scaling that is more appropriate for low viscosity fluids and forcing frequencies $f = \omega/2\pi < 1$ kHz. This scaling gives dimensionless acceleration (a^* , (4.3)) and frequency (ω^* , (4.2)) values of order 1 and, more importantly, it predicts the cross-over from capillary wave breaking to gravity wave breaking (figure 9 and (4.21)). The threshold forcing acceleration for wave breaking is in the gravity wave limit $\omega^* \ll 0.6$, independent of forcing frequency.

In the capillary dominated range the threshold forcing acceleration a_d has a power law $\omega^{*4/3}$ (4.5). In this limit $\omega^* > 0.6$, the present results, obtained with two liquids whose kinematic surface tensions with air differ by a factor of 10, are well fitted by a prefactor $C_2 \approx 0.26$ in (4.5) which is in agreement with the results of Goodridge *et al.* (1996). For a viscous liquid, the viscous scales Ω_{ν} (1.6) and a_{ν} (1.8) must be used even at relatively low forcing frequencies. This is clearly seen in figure 9 where the breaking acceleration threshold for glycerin-water solution ($\nu = 1.44$ cm²s⁻¹) does not collapse on the inviscid law. The value of the dimensionless viscous forcing frequency ω_{ν}^{*} (1.9) is of order 1 (0.38) at forcing frequency 50 Hz) for glycerin-water solution whereas it is of order 10⁻⁹ for the low viscosity liquids (see Table 2). The cross-over from viscous to inviscid breaking conditions is, according to Goodridge *et al.* (1997) at $\omega_{\nu}^{*} \approx 10^{-5}$. A condition for viscous effects to remain insignificant with respect to capillary effects is that the viscous length scale $(\nu/\omega_o)^{1/2}$ is much smaller than the capillary length scale $\sqrt{\sigma/(\rho a_o)}$, where a_o is the wave acceleration at breaking, $a_0 = 0.73\lambda\omega_0^2$. The ratio of viscous to capillary length scale is the capillary number, here equal to $Ca = \nu \omega_o^{1/3} / (\sigma/\rho)^{2/3}$. This number is of order 10^{-2} to 10^{-3} for the low viscosity liquids and about 1 for the Glycerin-water solution. For the low viscosity liquids, inertia dominates over viscous forces, the Reynolds number $Re = \omega_o \lambda^2 / v$ being 10³ to 10⁴. The Bond number $Bo = \rho \omega_o^2 \lambda^3 / \sigma \approx 10^2$. However, the wave crest radius is much smaller than λ at breaking, giving a Bond number based on the wave crest radius of about 1. This force balance at the wave crest is expressed in the break-up model developed in Section 4.3.1. It gives the relation between the container acceleration and the wave crest acceleration (4.17) and, consequently, the relation between the wave amplitude and the forcing amplitude. These results are of practical importance because these give information about the fluid velocity for given vibration conditions, including horizontal vibrations.

The measured drop size distributions can be fitted by a Gamma distribution with the most likely drop diameter d_m depending on the threshold wave length (figure 8). The ratio of $d_m/\lambda = 0.33 \pm 0.03$ which is close to the value measured by Donnelly *et al.* (2004) in the ultrasonic frequency range where the breakup process is dominated by viscosity. The distributions in the present experiments show a second peak of drop diameter about half the main peak. One explanation for this peak of lower diameters is the formation of jets due to collapse of cavities that have a smaller diameter than the retracting wave crest that forms the cavity. In ultrasonic atomisation, viscosity may prevent this jet formation. As mentioned before, the drop size distribution is also well fitted by a log-normal distribution

For low viscosity fluids in small containers, the wave pattern near the instability onset is imposed by the container shape. With increasing forcing amplitude at a given forcing frequency, the observed pattern evolution is from circular waves that are azimuthally modulated to waves in the form of randomly moving fluid cones (when viewed from the top) at drop ejection (figure 3). The randomness of the wave motion at drop ejection has been pointed out by Yule & Al-Suleimani (2000). The wave pattern evolution shown in Section 3 demonstrates that the waves become random well before drop ejection, even at values of $\epsilon = (a - a_c)/a_c \approx 0.25$ (see figure 4(b)), the specific value of ϵ depends on the fluid properties and the forcing frequency. These random waves are independent of container geometry and the wavelengths between their crests can be approximated by a Gaussian distribution. The most likely drop diameter scales with the threshold wavelength because the most probable wavelength of these random waves at drop ejection is practically the same as the threshold value.

The number of ejected drops above the drop ejection threshold was determined from

side view images. Goodridge *et al.* (1999) measured the drop ejection rate in a viscous liquid (silicone oil) and suggested a correlation of the drop ejection rate per unit projected surface area Φ in terms of $\epsilon'_d = (a - a'_d)/a'_{d'}$ where $a'_d = 0.8 a_d$ is the threshold for rare, intermittent drop ejection. We find that drop ejection rate follows a cubic power law of ϵ'_d . The dimensionless drop ejection rate, ϕ as a function of ϵ'_d for the two liquids of very different surface tensions collapse reasonably well on a single curve when scaled by a'_d/g as predicted by a model developed in Appendix B.

We wish to thank Pierre Carecchio and Joseph Virone, for their technical help. This work was financially supported by contract CNES/60167 within the French-German program COMPERE.

Appendix A. Laterally forced capillary waves

The general breaking criterion given by (4.16) should also hold for synchronous capillary waves i.e. $\omega_0 = \omega$. In order to verify this, we performed experiments with the same container vibrated in the horizontal direction. The vibration exciter was mounted with its spindle horizontal and the Plexiglas container was screwed to the spindle through the side. The container was made perfectly horizontal by using an electronic level gauge.

We assume that the relation between the wave acceleration and the container acceleration, $b_d \omega_o^2 \approx 7A_d \omega^2$, still holds for synchronous waves. As the physical model of drop ejection in Section 4.3.1 is valid for the case of horizontal forcing too, the wave acceleration is given by (4.16). Using (4.10) and $\omega_o = \omega$, we get:

$$b_d \omega_o^2 \approx 28 A_d \omega^2 \approx 28 a_d$$
 (A1)

where $b_d \omega_o^2$ is given by (4.16). The threshold forcing acceleration for drop ejection in horizontal forcing is then

$$a_d = C_4 \left(\sigma/\rho\right)^{1/3} \omega^{4/3}$$
 (A2)

with $C_4 \approx 4.86/28 = 0.17$. Note that this value is less than the value of 0.26 obtained for parametrically forced capillary waves. This inference matches our experimental observations of drop ejecting condition for the horizontally forced case. From the threshold accelerations calculated from the horizontal forcing experiments, $C_4 = 0.169$ for water and $C_4 = 0.166$ for FC-72.

Appendix B. Number of drops from a ligament

Neglecting the distribution of drop diameters as a first approximation, the number of drops from a ligament of length L_l is,

$$N_l \sim L_l/d_m \tag{B1}$$

where d_m is the mean drop diameter. Assuming that the ligament length is determined by the balance of gravity and inertia, we get

$$L_l \approx V_o^2 / (2g), \tag{B2}$$

where V_o is the fluid velocity at the base of the ligament. Here we have neglected the role of surface tension on the ligament development. This assumption is justifiable if the Bond number, $Bo = \rho g L_l d_m / \sigma >> 1$. $Bo \approx 8$ for water while $Bo \approx 25$ for FC-72. Expecting inertial collapse of free surface troughs to create the ligaments, we take

$$V_o \sim (b - b_d)\omega_o,\tag{B3}$$

where *b* is the wave amplitude and b_d the threshold drop ejection wave amplitude. The wave amplitude $b \sim a/\omega_o^2$ and since $d_m \omega_o^2 \sim a_d$ we get from (B1) to (B3)

$$N_l \sim \frac{(a-a_d)^2}{2a_d g} \sim \epsilon_d^2 \frac{a_d}{g}.$$
 (B4)

It is of course possible to replace a_d by a'_d in (B4).

REFERENCES

- 3M 2000 Fluorinert TMElectronic liquid FC72 product information sheet. *Tech. Rep.*. 3M Speciality materials.
- BATCHELOR, G. K. 1969 An introduction to fluid dynamics. Cambridge University Press.
- BECHHOEFER, J., EGO, V., MANNEVILLE, S. & JOHNSON, B. 1995 An experimental study of the onset of parametrically pumped surface waves in a viscous fluid. *J. Fluid. Mech.* **288**, 325–350.
- BENJAMIN, T. & URSELL, F. 1954 The stability of plane free surface of a liquid in periodic vertical motion. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond.* (A225), 505–515.
- BINKS, D., WESTRA, M. T. & VAN DE WATER, W. 1997 Effect of depth on the pattern formation of Faraday waves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 5010–5013.
- CHEN, P. & VINALS, J. 1997 Pattern selection in Faraday waves. Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (14), 2670–2673.
- CILIBERTO, S. & GOLLUB, J. P. 1985 Chaotic mode competition in parametrically forced surface waves. J. Fluid. Mech. 158, 381–398.
- Das, S. P. & HOPFINGER, E. J. 2008a Mass transfer enhancement by gravity waves at a liquid-vapour interface. *Int. J. Heat Mass Trans.* To appear.
- Das, S. P. & HOPFINGER, E. J. 2008b Parametrically forced gravity waves in a circular cylinder and finite-time singularity. J. Fluid. Mech. 599, 205–228.
- DONNELLY, T. D., HOGAN, J., MUGLER, A., SCHOMMER, N. & SCHUBMEHL, M. 2004 An experimental study of micron-scale droplet aerosols produced via ultrasonic atomization. *Phys. Fluids* **16** (8), 2843–2851.
- EDWARDS, W. S. & FAUVE, S. 1995 Patterns and quasi-patterns in the Faraday experiment. J. Fluid. Mech. 278, 123–148.
- FALCON, E., LAROCHE, C. & FAUVE, S. 2007 Observations of gravity-capillary wave turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 094503.

FRANK, H. & ALTHOEN, S. C. 2002 Statistics, Concepts and applications. Cambridge University Press.

- GOLLUB, J. P. & MEYER, W. 1983 Symmetry-breaking instabilities on a fluid surface. *Physica D* 6, 337–346.
- GOODRIDGE, C. L., HENTSCHEL, H. G. E. & LATHROP, D. P. 1999 Breaking Faraday waves: Critical slowing of droplet ejection rates. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (15), 3062–3065.
- GOODRIDGE, C. L., SHI, T. & LATHROP, D. P. 1996 Threshold dynamics of singular gravity-capilarry waves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** (11), 1824–1827.
- GOODRIDGE, C. L., TAO SHI, W., HENTSCHEL, H. G. E. & LATHROP, D. P. 1997 Viscous effects in droplet-ejecting capillary waves. *Phys. Rev. E.* **56** (1), 472–475.
- JAMES, A. J., SMITH, M. K. & GLEZER, A. 2003a Vibration-induced drop atomisation and the numerical simulation of low frequency single-droplet ejection. J. Fluid. Mech. 476, 29–62.
- JAMES, A. J., VUKASINOVIC, B., SMITH, M. K. & GLEZER, A. 2003b Vibration induced drop atomisation and bursting. J. Fluid. Mech. 476, 1.
- JIANG, L., PERLIN, M. & SCHULTZ, W. W. 1998 Period tripling and energy dissipation of breaking standing waves. J. Fluid. Mech. 369, 273.
- JIANG, L., TING, C. L., PERLIN, M. & SCHULTZ, W. W. 1996 Moderate and steep Faraday waves:instabilities,modulation and temporal asymmetries. J. Fluid. Mech. 329, 275.
- KUDROLLI, A. & GOLLUB, J. P. 1996 Patterns and spatiotemporal chaos in parametrically forced surface waves: a systematic survey at large aspect ratio. *Physica D* **97**, 133–154.
- KUMAR, K. & TUCKERMAN, L. S. 1994 Parametric instability of the interface between two fluids. J. Fluid. Mech. 279, 49–68.

LANG, R. 1962 Ultrasonic atomization of liquids. J. Acous. Soc. America 34, 6–9.

24

- MILES, J. & HENDERSON, D. 1990 Parametrically forced surface waves. In Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech., , vol. 22, pp. 143–165. Annual Reviews Inc.
- SAYLOR, J. R. & HANDLER, R. A. 1997 Gas transport across an air/water interface populated with capillary waves. *Phys. Fluids* 9, 2529.
- TAYLOR, G. I. 1953 An experimental study of standing waves. Proc. Roy. Soc. A Vol CCXVIII, 44–59.
- TOPP, M. 1973 Ultrasonic atomisation-a photographic study of the mechanism of disintegration. *Aerosol Sci.* **4**, 17–25.
- VILLERMAUX, E. 2007 Fragmentation. In Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech., , vol. 39, pp. 419–446. Annual Reviews Inc.
- VUKASINOVIC, B., SMITH, M. K. & GLEZER, A. 2004 Spray characterization during vibration-induced drop atomization. *Phys. Fluids* **16**, 306–316.
- VUKASINOVIC, B., SMITH, M. K. & GLEZER, A. 2007*a* Dynamics of a sessile drop in forced vibration,. *J. Fluid. Mech.* **587**, 395–423.
- VUKASINOVIC, B., SMITH, M. K. & GLEZER, A. 2007b Mechanisms of free-surface breakup in vibrationinduced liquid atomiation. *Phys. Fluids* **19**, 012104.
- WRIGHT, W., BUDAKIAN, R. & PUTTERMAN, S. 1996 Diffusing light photography of fully developed isotropic ripple turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** (24), 4528–4531.
- YULE, A. J. & AL-SULEIMANI, Y. 2000 On droplet formation from capillary waves on a vibrating surface. *Proc. Royal Soc. Lond. A* **456**, 1069–1085.
- ZHANG, W. & VINALS, J. 1997 Pattern formation in weakly damped parametric surface waves. J. Fluid. Mech. 336, 310–330.